

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,178	10/16/2001	Gene Stellon	2334 DIV	5414
7590 05/19/2005			EXAMINER	
United States Surgical, a division of			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP 150 Glover Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	06856		3763	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ <u>, </u>					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	09/981,178	STELLON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Matthew F DeSanto	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	inication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 10 January 2005				
2a) This action is FINAL .	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 January 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subject the subject the subject the subject the subject th	are withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copiesapplication from the Internat	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 y documents have been received. y documents have been received in App s of the priority documents have been re ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ion for a list of the certified copies not re	olication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/981,178

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 2-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwemberger et al. (5904699).

Schwemberger et al. discloses a cannula (40), an obturator assembly, a housing (51), a penetrating tip (61), an elongated shield, a guard (56), a latch mechanism including a release member (52), and a latch operatively associated with the release member. (Figures 1, 3D, 11, 14, Column 10, line 53 - Column 11, line 35 [with regards to the method claims and the movement of the guard with respect to applied force] and entire reference)

3. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holmes et al. (USPN 4,931,042).

Holmes et al. discloses a trocar assembly with a cannula assembly with a release member (26b) with a camming surface that imparts lateral movement of a blocking surface on a latch (40), which permits movement of a shield (26) and a penetrating tip (24). (Figures 1, 2, Column 4, lines 46-52, and entire reference)

4. Claims 2-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al. (USPN 5,387,197).

Art Unit: 3763

Smith et al. discloses a trocar assembly with a cannula assembly with a release member (270,230) with a camming surface that imparts lateral movement of a blocking surface on a latch (250), which permits movement of a shield and a penetrating tip.

(Figures 1, 4, 4A, 5, Column 11, lines 8-33 and entire reference)

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 2-10, and 14-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,319,266. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the above mentioned patent are substantially similar to the claims in the instant application because they both claim the same structural limitations such as a cannula, an obturator assembly, a housing, a penetrating tip, an elongated shield, a guard, a latch mechanism, a blocking surface, a mating surface, and a flat knife blade.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

7. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 8. The 102 Rejection by Danks et al. (USPN 5868773) is withdrawn.
- 9. With regards to Schwemberger et al. the button member is urged proximal because the portion that is in the obturator housing moves, from a first position in which the button portion is more in the distal area then the proximal area, and then a second position in which the button is more in the proximal area (as being claim disclosed in claim 11). This two position correspond to the position of the needle and the shield and when the needle is retracted and when the needle is exposed. The button portion has a small portion being exposed in the distally facing open as shown in the figures 9-11 (as being disclosed in claims 3 and 14).
- 10. With regards to Holmes et al. and claim 11, figures 5 and 6 show how the release member moves to a more proximally direction and the camming surface of the release member imparts laterally movement of a blocking surface of the latch, so that when the shield is urged proximally the needle will be exposed.
- 11. With regards to Smith the applicant states that Smith fails to teach a release member having a button portion that is located in the distally facing surface. The examiner disagrees and draws the attention to figure 5. As to the lack of lateral

Application/Control Number: 09/981,178

Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

movement of the camming surface ref # 198, which moves in a direct relationship with the release member. This can be seen in figures 5-8. With regards to claim 11, the release member is urged proximal as seen in figure 5, which allows the needle to be driven into the patient, thus reading on claim 11.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew DeSanto

Art Unit 3763 May 16, 2005 NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700